| CHARTERIN WOTECTION | |
|---------------------|--|
| Some Man | |
| FLORIDA | |
| | |

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | |
|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 0251075 DATE: <u>2/13/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:22 PM</u> DEPART: <u>1:10 PM</u> | |
| FACILITY NAME: NICE & QUICK CLEANERS | |
| FACILITY LOCATION:5773 NW 7th ST | |
| MIAMI 33126 | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARTHA GONZALEZ PHONE: (305)266-0802 Email: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Mobile: Email: Mobile: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/24/2007 / 9/24/2012 9/24/2012 (effective date) (end date) | |
| | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| PART II:FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check \square only one box in A)- Rule 62-213.300 FACA.1.Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)2.New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)2.New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)3.Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr both types, 140 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)4.New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)4.New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)4.New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 $\leq x \leq 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, 200 $\leq x \leq 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91)5.Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limitsConstructed on or after 12/9/91)8.The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months | |

cleaning facility was 10.00 gallons.

| | | | | <u> </u> |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check ☑ x for each q | only one question) |
| 1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 2. Are all perc. containers leak free ? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | \boxtimes | Yes | □ No | ľ |
| Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| 5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with | _ | | _ | |
| manufacturer's instructions. | \Box | Yes | No No | N/A |
| 6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | | | | |
| If the facility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u>, no controls are required. Proceedings of the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with condenser. Complete section A. below. If the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>. | with a oped <i>arbor</i> | a refrig with e n adsor | erated ither a <i>ber</i> | |
| A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area & new sources</u> : | | | check ☑ x for each q | only one question) |
| 1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | \square | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | | | | |

| 6 | Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and | | | | |
|---|--|----------|-----|---|----|
| | | _ | | _ | |
| | after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | | Yes | | No |
| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | <u> </u> | | | |

| PA | ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | |
|-----------------|---|------|------|-------|
| B. 1. | For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | Yes | | |
| 3. | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? F? Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly | Yes | ∐ No | ∐ N/A |
| | at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, | Vac | | |
| 5. | contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual | Yes | L No | ∐ N/A |
| | condenser coils? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |

| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | | ` | check ☑ x for each c | only one uestion) |
|----|---|-------------|-----|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 3. | Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: | | | | |
| | a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. | Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? | \boxtimes | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 7. | Are deviation reports maintained? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | a) Problem corrected? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 8. | Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | | | | | |

| PA | ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | (check 🗹 | only one |
|----|--|--|---|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | box for each | • |
| | Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used | | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes 🗌 No | |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | | |
| | the interface periphery? | Yes 🗌 No | |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes 🗌 No | N/A |
| 6. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations | | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? \square | Yes 🗌 No | N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn | mell or touch) whi | le the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | pection of perceptil | ole leaks) |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗍 N/A h) Stills 🖾 | | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog | genated hydrocarb | on detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage | graph shall satisfy t | he |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $63.322(k)$ or (l) | | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers | Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No | □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Ruk | e 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed \[Leak log documentation \[RO Assurances Explain other: | | |
| | | |
| FRANK DELGADO | 2/13/2012 | |
| FRANK DELGADO Inspector's Name (Please Print) | 2/13/2012 Date of Inspection | |
| | | |

PERC RECORDS WERE AVAILABLE. I DID NOT DETECT ANY LEAKS AROUND THE DRY CLEANING MACHINE. ONLY TEN GALLONS OF PERC WERE BOUGHT IN 2011.